INSTITUTIONAL PERFORMANCE RATING FOR 1998-99

Institution: South Carolina State University Sector: Four-year Colleges and Universities

Approved by the South Carolina Commission on Higher Education, May 6, 1999 For additional information see www.che400.state.sc.us or call 803.737.2260

Overall Institutional Performance

ACHIEVES STANDARDS

Institutions scoring within the same performance category are considered to be performing similarly. Performance Scale = Substantially Exceeds, 2.85-3.00; Exceeds, 2.60-2.84; Achieves, 2.00-2.59; Does Not Achieve, 1.45-1.99; Substantially Does Not Achieve, 1.00-1.44. (See Note 1 below for information on deriving the overall score.)

PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES					
	Approved Benchm	rk Sector Benchmark		Performance Indic	ator Scores
Critical Success Factor followed by related				Verbal & Numeric Sco	roo: Evocodo
Performance Indicators. Please note that the	Institution's Individua	Common Goal for	Institution's	institutional goal or at	
applicability of individual indicators varies by sector	Performance Goal Plus	or Institutions in the	<u>Performance</u>	_	
and institution. For indicator definitions, contact the	Minus 1% of the Goa	Same Sector		goal =3; Achieves instit Does not achieve instit	
Commission.				Does not achieve instit	ulional goal = 1.
I. Mission Focus					
1A Expenditures to Achieve Mission	14.4% to 14.6	% None	14.6%	Achieves	2.00
(Academic Support)					
1B Curricula	99% to 101	% 100%	100%	Exceeds	3.00
1C Mission Statement	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A	no score
1D Adoption of a Strategic Plan	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A	no score
1E Attainment of Strategic Plan Goals	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A	no score
II. Quality of Faculty					
2A Academic and Other Faculty Credentials	Avg. of subpart scor	20	T	N/A	1.50
1 Faculty Meeting SACS Requirements	99.0% to 101.0		00.79/	Achieves	2
			99.7% 33%	Does Not Achieve	1
2 Teaching Faculty Exceeding SACS			100%		2.00
2B Performance Review for Faculty				Exceeds	3.00
2C Faculty Post-Tenure Review	99% to 101		100%	Exceeds	3.00
2D Faculty Compensation	\$41,976 to \$42,8		\$42,614	Achieves	
2E Availability of Faculty Outside of Class	Avg. of subpart scor		.=./	Does Not Achieve	1.00
1 % faculty rated satisfied on availibility		-89%=2, below 80%=1	47%	Does Not Achieve	7
2 % students satisfied with advisor availibility		-89%=2, below 80%=1	64%	Does Not Achieve	1
2F Faculty Community & Service Activities	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A	no score
III. Instructional Quality					
3A Class Size & Student/Teacher Ratios	Avg. of subpart scor	es		Exceeds	3.00
1a Lower Division Class Size	26.7 to 27.		26.8	Exceeds	3
1b Upper Division Class Size	15.3 to 15.		17.0	Exceeds	3
2 FTE Students per FTE Teaching Faculty	17.6 to 18	14-16	17.0	Exceeds	3
3B Credit Hours Taught by Full-time Faculty	188 to 193		255	Exceeds	3.00
3C Full-time Faculty to Full-time Employees	34.7% to 35.4		36.8%	Exceeds	3.00
3D Accreditation of Degree Granting Programs	57% to 59%		58%	Achieves	2.00
3E Emphasis on Quality Teacher Education	Avg. of subpart scor		0070	N/A	2.50
1 NCATE Accreditation	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A	no score
	94.1% to 96.0		100.0%	Exceeds	2
2a % Students Passing NTE -Prof. Knowledge					3
2b % Students Passing NTE -Specialty Area			67.1%	Does Not Achieve	1
3a % of Graduates in Critical Shortage Areas	37% to 379		45%	Exceeds	3
3b % Minority Teacher Education Graduates	91% to 93%	None	96%	Exceeds	3
IV. Institutional Cooperation and Collab	oration				
4A Sharing & Use of Technology, etc.,	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A	no score
4B Collaboration with Private Industry	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A	no score
V. Administrative Efficiency					
5A Administrative & Academic Costs	Avg. of subpart scor	es		N/A	2.50
1 Percentage of Administrative Costs	15.2% to 15.6		14.4%	Exceeds	3.00
2 Percentage of Academic Costs	62.9% to 64.1		63.2%	Achieves	2.00
5B Use of Best Management Practices	99% 101		100%	Exceeds	3.00
5C Elimination of Waste & Duplication	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A	no score
5D General Overhead Costs Per FTE Student	\$1,337 to \$1,3		\$1,282	Exceeds	3.00
VI. Entrance Requirements	7.,0	, -,-,-	. ,===		
	16.00/ 1- 17.0	0/ 60 00/	16.00/	A abis	0.00
6A SAT Scores of Student Body	16.8% to 17.2		16.9%	Achieves	
6B Entering Freshmen High School Credentials	37.6% to 38.4		46.6%	Exceeds	3.00
6C Non-Academic Achievements of Students	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A	no score
6D In-State Student Enrollment	79.2% to 80.8	% 80.0%	82.2%	Exceeds	3.00
VII. Graduates' Achievements					
7A Graduation Rate (GRS, 150% of program time)	46.3% to 47.3	% None	45.4%	Does Not Achieve	1.00
7B Employment Rate for Graduates	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A	no score
7C Employer Feedback on Graduates	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A	no score
7D % Passing Professional Exams	89.1% to 90.9		82.2%	Does Not Achieve	
7E Graduates Continuing Education in SC	9% to 9%		9%	Achieves	
7F Credit Hours Earned of Graduates	109% to 111		110%	Exceeds	
VIII. User-Friendliness of Institution					
8A Credit Transfer to and from Institution	99% to 101	% 100%	83%	Does Not Achieve	1.00
				N/A	
8B Continuing Education Units			N/A	Does Not Achieve	
	Avg. of subpart scor		0.00/		
8C Accessibility of Institution to SC Citizens	3.9% to 3.99		2.9%	Does Not Achieve	1.00
1 Percentage of Other Race Students		% None	78.3%	Does Not Achieve	1.00
Percentage of Other Race Students Other /Non-Other Race Retention Ratio	92.0% to 93.8				
Percentage of Other Race Students Other /Non-Other Race Retention Ratio Other Race Retention	(not applicable)		66.3%	N/A	N/A
Percentage of Other Race Students Other /Non-Other Race Retention Ratio Other Race Retention Non-Other Race Retention			66.3% 84.7%	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
Percentage of Other Race Students Other /Non-Other Race Retention Ratio Other Race Retention	(not applicable)				
Percentage of Other Race Students Other /Non-Other Race Retention Ratio Other Race Retention Non-Other Race Retention	(not applicable)				N/A

SUMMARY: Category of overall institution performance is ACHIEVES. (See note 2.) The number of applicable indicators varies by sector and institution. For this institution, 26 indicators are included in the overall score. The institution's overall numeric score that determines the performance category is 2.25 or 75% of 3, expressing the overall numeric score as a percent of the total possible. (See note 1.)

NOTE 1: The overall numeric score is calculated by averaging the scores for each indicator (i.e., scores in the final column, not shadec and right aligned). For indicators with multiple subparts, the subpart scores (i.e., scores in the last column, shaded and left aligned) are averaged to derive a score for the indicator. The percent shown is derived by dividing the overall numeric score by three

NOTE 2: Institutions scoring within the same category are considered to be performing similarly under SC's performance system